

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION N	О.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/777,387		02/12/2004	Fatih M. Ozluturk	I-2-0100.3US	4584
24374	7590	09/26/2006		EXAMINER	
VOLPE	AND KO	ENIG, P.C.	TRAN, CONGVAN		
DEPT. IC UNITED	_	UITE 1600	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	H 17TH S		2617		
PHILAD	ELPHIA, I	PA 19103	DATE MAILED: 09/26/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/777,387	OZLUTURK, FATIH M.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	CongVan Tran	2617				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1 and 5 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 2-4 and 6-8 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☒ acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate				

Application/Control Number: 10/777,387 Page 2

Art Unit: 2617

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Frank et al. (5,752,187).

Regarding claims 1 and 5, Frank discloses a method and apparatus for optimal hand offs in a satellite cellular communication system, comprising a plurality of base stations, the method comprising the steps of: receiving by a mobile unit transmissions from a plurality of candidate base stations, each transmission containing a global pilot code (see fig.3, element 43, fig.7, element 74 and its description); acquiring a global pilot code from one of the candidate base stations using global pilot code seed stored in a memory of the mobile unit (see fig. 7, steps 101-102, col.9, and its description); establishing a communication link to the candidate base station from which the mobile unit acquires a global pilot code with a minimum transmit power (see fig. 7, steps 104, col.10, and its description); comparing the transmit power to the candidate base station with the transmit power to the current base station (see abstract, fig.7, steps 110-114, col.9, lines 9-23, and its description); and completing a hand-off from the current base station to the candidate base station if the transmit power to the candidate base station is less than the transmit power to the current base station (see fig.7, steps 118-122 and

Application/Control Number: 10/777,387 Page 3

Art Unit: 2617

its description), except for using in CDMA communication system. However, CDMA technology is well known and is capable of providing soft hand off functionality for reducing the probability of dropped call. Thus, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the CDMA technology in Frank's invention in order to improve the quality signal in telecommunications system.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 2-4, and 6-8 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to CongVan Tran whose telephone number is 571-272-7871. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nick Corsaro can be reached on 571-272-7876. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/777,387

Art Unit: 2617

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

CONGVANTRAN— PRIMARY EXAMINER CongVan Tran
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2617

Sept. 22, 2006.